

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat int and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

MK APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/535,550 03/27/00 MORANDO J. MJV-120-A **EXAMINER** 021770 IM52/0926 CHARLES W CHANDLER IP S 33150 SCHOOLCRAFT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER LIVONIA MI 48150 1742 DATE MAILED: 09/26/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

2	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
` Office Action Summary	Examiner	miner			
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	errespondence ad	ldress—	
Period f r Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutory minim pire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30) on the mailing date	days will be considere	d timely.	
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/5/01					
☐ This action is FINAL .		-		·	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 0 	r formal matters, pros c C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to	the merits is clos	ed in	
Disp sition of Claims			•		
$\mathbb{C}^{\prime}Claim(s) = 2 - 29$	is/are pending in the application			cation	
Of the above claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)					
(12 Claim(s) 2-29	Claim(s) 2-29 is/ar			rejected	
□ Claim(s)		piected to			
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		are sub	ject to restriction o	r election	
Application Papers		requirer		i election	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	eview. PTO-948.		•		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	·	disapproved			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 					
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ received in this national stage application from the International Stage application from the Internation from the Internation		ule 1 7.2(a)).	·		
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)). <u> </u>	erview Summa	arv. PTO-413		
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	•	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		n. PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		□ Other			
Office Ac	cti n Summary				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 6

Serial No: 09/535,550

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election of Group II, claims 2-29, in Paper No. 5, filed July 5, 2001 is acknowledged. Applicant's question as set forth in the remarks is noted. Claim 28 may limit the composition to instant claim 1 but it is known that conventional pump material is not limited to the claimed material. Therefore, when search the claimed component, it would open to many different materials in addition to the claimed material. It would be clear from the cited references that the claimed composition has many different applications other than the claimed pump component.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Serial No: 09/535,550 -3-

Art Unit: 1742

3. Claims 2-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,004,507. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed alloy composition is overlapped by the alloy composition of said patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 29 is indefinite because the function and/or structure of the pump component is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Serial No: 09/535,550 -4-

Art Unit: 1742

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP 63274740, JP 09049051, JP 11293410, or JP 08325673 (see their abstracts).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 2-27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over JP 63274740, JP 09049051, JP 11293410, or JP 08325673.

Serial No: 09/535,550 -5-

Art Unit: 1742

12. The cited reference(s) disclose(s) the features including the claimed component of equipment and its compositions. The features relied upon described above can be found in the reference(s) at: their abstracts. The difference between the reference(s) and the claims are as follows: The cited references do not disclose the recited intended use. But, the claimed intended use of the claimed alloy does not lend patentability to the alloy. A mere statement of a new use for an otherwise old or obvious composition cannot render a claim to the composition patentable. See In re Lemin, 51 CCPA 942, 326 F.2d 437, 140 USPQ 273 (1964), Kropa v. Robie, and Mahlman, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951). Moreover, an intended use clause found in the preamble of an apparatus/product claim is not afforded the effect of a distinguishing limitation unless the body of the claim sets forth structure which refers back to, is defined by, or otherwise draws life and breath from the preamble. In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and Kropa V. Robie, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

13. With respect to the claim 29 that the component of a pump reads on products of cited references because the function and/or structure of said pump component is unclear.

Conclusion

All recited limitations in the instant claims have been meet by the rejections as set forth above.

Serial No: 09/535,550

Art Unit: 1742

Applicant is reminded that when amendment and/or revision is required, applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP § 2163.06 (a) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.119.

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (703) 308-2542. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (703)-308-1146.

The facsimile phone number for this Art Unit 1742 are (703) 305-3601 (Official Paper only) and (703) 305-7719 (Unofficial Paper only). When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1700, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

13

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1742

S. Ip September 22, 2001